




Comparison of Turkish and international trends in carpal tunnel surgery

Tuğrul Yıldırım, MD , Seyyid Şerif Ünsal, MD , Mehmet Armangil, MD 

Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Division of Hand Surgery, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Although carpal tunnel syndrome is very common in practice, there is variability in its surgical management. Several studies have been published to investigate the treatment patterns of carpal tunnel surgery.^[1-4] In a survey carried out by Munns et al.,^[3] members of the American Society of the Hand (ASSH) were asked about their surgical management regarding their preoperative workup, antibiotic use, operative technique, type of anesthesia and postoperative management. Peters and Giuffre^[4] replicated Munns' survey by asking similar questions to the Canadian Society of Plastic Surgery (CSPS) to assess practice differences with members of the ASSH.

In this study, we aimed to analyze the nationwide trends in carpal tunnel surgery by surgeons practicing in Turkey according to experience in years, training received (plastic surgery or orthopedics), and working location (Turkey or abroad) by slightly modifying Munns' survey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Division of Hand Surgery between May 2018 and August 2018. A printed and online survey consisting of 11 modified questions from

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to analyze the nationwide trends in carpal tunnel surgery by surgeons practicing in Turkey according to experience in years, training received (plastic surgery or orthopedics), and working location (Turkey or abroad) by slightly modifying Munns' survey.

Materials and methods: A printed and online survey consisting of 11 questions was sent to Turkish and international surgeons. The surgeon's province of practice, years in practice, type of education received as a resident, preferred operation room, preferred surgical approach, type of anesthesia used, use of postoperative orthosis and therapy were assessed in the survey.

Results: The survey was completed by 198 surgeons (a 25% response rate). The majority of surgeons practicing in Turkey (87%) and 67% of surgeons practicing abroad demanded electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies. Sixty-one percent of respondents from Turkey and 76% of respondents practicing abroad did not administer antibiotics preoperatively. While the most common anesthetic technique used by international surgeons was subcutaneous local anesthesia (42%), Turkish surgeons preferred wrist block (18%). Surgeons practicing in Turkey with fewer than five years of experience were more likely to administer antibiotics preoperatively, use EMG/nerve conduction studies, utilize a mini-open approach and apply an orthosis postoperatively ($p<0.05$).

Conclusion: There are comparable differences between Turkish and international surgeons regarding pre- and postoperative management of carpal tunnel surgery. Province of practice, years in practice, and type of education received as a resident have influence over the management of carpal tunnel syndrome.

Keywords: Carpal tunnel surgery, carpal tunnel syndrome, surgical trends, survey.

Received: September 26, 2019

Accepted: November 14, 2019

Published online: March 02, 2020

Correspondence: Tuğrul Yıldırım, MD, Ankara Üniversitesi İbn-i Sina Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Kliniği, El Cerrahisi Bölümü, 06230 Altındağ, Ankara, Türkiye.

E-mail: matugrul@hotmail.com

Doi: 10.5606/ehc.2020.71185

Citation: Yıldırım T, Ünsal ŞŞ, Armangil M. Comparison of Turkish and international trends in carpal tunnel surgery. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2020;31(1):56-61.

Munns' study was sent to Turkish and international surgeons who attended the Asian Pacific Federation of Societies for Reconstructive Microsurgery (APFSRM) 2018 Congress (Appendix A). Permission was granted by the APFSRM Organization Committee to distribute the survey. The online survey was also sent to Turkish orthopedic and plastic surgeons who were practicing hand surgery and did not attend the APFSRM

congress. A total number of 590 survey invitations were sent electronically and 200 printed versions were distributed during the APFSRM congress. A brief explanation of the study was included at the beginning of the survey. All results were submitted anonymously. Incomplete surveys were not included in the analysis. The study protocol was approved by the Ankara University Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The surgeon's province of practice (Turkey or international), years in practice, type of education received as a resident, preferred operation room for surgery, preferred surgical approach, type of anesthesia used, use of postoperative orthosis and therapy were assessed in the survey. Estimated completion time of the survey was two minutes.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS version 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics of categorical variables were given in frequencies. Subanalyses were performed on variables such as the province of practice, years in practice, and type of education received as a resident. Comparisons of proportions were performed using the chi-square test. Statistical significance was set as *p* value less than 0.05.

RESULTS

The survey was completed by 198 surgeons (a 25% response rate). With respect to practice duration, 32% of Turkish surgeons were in practice for less than five years, 19% between five-10 years, 17% between 10-15 years and 32% more than 15 years (Table I). Twenty-nine percent of international surgeons were in practice for less than five years, 15% between five-10 years, 32% between 10-15 years, and 24% more than 15 years. While one hundred and one surgeons were practicing in Turkey, 97 surgeons were practicing in other countries. With respect to educational background, 48% of Turkish surgeons and 61% of international surgeons were plastic surgeons. Fifty-two percent of Turkish surgeons and 39% of international surgeons completed an orthopedic surgery residency. The majority of respondents from Turkey and abroad were practicing at a university hospital (52% vs. 69%). The majority of respondents from Turkey performed most of their carpal tunnel surgeries in a general operating room (84% in a general operating room versus 16%

in a local procedure room). Surgeons who were practicing in other countries were more likely to perform surgery in a local procedure room (63% in a general operating room versus 37% in a local procedure room) (Table I).

The majority of surgeons practicing in Turkey and abroad demanded electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies before surgery (87% versus 67%) (Table II). Sixty-one percent of respondents from Turkey and 76% of international respondents did not administer antibiotics preoperatively.

Turkish surgeons preferred to utilize a wide spectrum of anesthetics (Table II). While the most common anesthetic technique used by international surgeons was wide-awake local anesthesia no tourniquet (WALANT) (42%), Turkish surgeons preferred wrist block (18%), general anesthesia (17%), and WALANT (16%). Only 29% of Turkish surgeons preferred the mini-open approach. The most common surgical approach utilized by Turkish surgeons was the standard approach (67%). Surgeons practicing in other countries mostly preferred to use the mini-open approach (55%). In both groups, endoscopic carpal tunnel release was less favored (4% vs. 7%).

Approximately one-half of respondents in both groups routinely applied an orthosis postoperatively (Table III). Referral to physiotherapy (PT) after surgery was ordered by 37% of Turkish surgeons, compared to 45% of non-Turkish surgeons.

TABLE I
Demographics (%) of surgeons

	Practicing in Turkey	Practicing abroad
Years in practice		
<5 years	32	29
5-10 years	19	15
10-15 years	17	32
>15 years	32	24
Residency training		
Orthopedics	48	39
Plastic surgery	52	61
Type of institution primarily operated		
Privately owned clinic	21	12
Public hospital	27	19
University hospital	52	69
Surgical room preference		
Local procedure room	16	37
General operating room	84	63

TABLE II

Pre- and intraoperative management preferences (%)

	Practicing in Turkey	Practicing abroad
EMG/nerve conduction studies		
Yes	87	67
No	7	17
Sometimes	6	16
Preoperative antibiotics		
Yes	39	24
No	61	76
Type of anesthesia		
General	17	16
Wrist block	18	19
Median nerve block	14	6
Intravenous sedation and local anesthesia	1	2
WALANT	16	42
Intravenous regional anesthesia	12	3
Other	22	12
Surgical approach		
Mini-open	29	55
Standard	67	38
Endoscopic	4	7

EMG: Electromyography; WALANT: Wide-awake local anesthesia no tourniquet.

TABLE III

Postoperative management preferences (%)

	Practicing in Turkey	Practicing abroad
Postoperative splint application		
Yes	49	52
No	45	40
Sometimes	6	8
Postoperative physical therapy referral		
Yes	37	45
No	40	43
Sometimes	23	12

There was a statistically significant difference between years in practice and administration of preoperative antibiotics, EMG studies, surgical approach and, orthosis application ($p < 0.05$). Surgeons practicing in Turkey with fewer than five years of experience were more likely to administer antibiotics preoperatively, use EMG/nerve conduction studies, utilize a mini-open approach and apply an orthosis postoperatively. There was no difference between type of the institution and the other parameters ($p > 0.05$). There was a statistically significant difference between

TABLE IV

Comparison of survey results with Munns and Peters studies

	Practicing in Turkey	Munns survey	Peters survey
EMG/nerve conduction studies			
Yes	87	72	70
No	7	11	16
Sometimes	6	18	14
Preoperative antibiotics			
Yes	39	36	2
No	61	49	98
Type of anesthesia			
General	17	11	1
Wrist block	18	6	3
Median nerve block	14	6	37
Intravenous sedation and local anesthesia	1	43	3
WALANT	16	8	54
Intravenous regional anesthesia	12	18	2
Other	22	8	0
Surgical approach			
Mini-open	29	50	38
Standard	67	20	57
Endoscopic	4	26	5
Postoperative splint application			
Yes	49	27	24
No	45	71	75
Sometimes	6	2	1
Postoperative physical therapy referral			
Yes	37	12	11
No	40	88	89
Sometimes	23		

EMG: Electromyography; WALANT: Wide-awake local anesthesia no tourniquet.

educational background and referral of patients to PT and utilization of orthosis ($p < 0.05$). Surgeons who received plastic surgery training during residency were more likely to refer patients to PT and utilize orthosis.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study revealing carpal tunnel surgery trends in Turkey. The survey provided a better understanding of how carpal tunnel surgery was managed nationwide. Besides, we were also able to compare the current practice differences and similarities with the surgeons who were practicing in other countries (mostly from

far-eastern countries). The 11-question format was modeled from Munns' study to keep survey time shorter and to increase response rates. Comparison of our results with Munns and Peters was shown in Table IV.

In 1987, Duncan et al.^[2] performed a 37-question survey to ASSH members. The most common approach performed was a standard open approach (66%) greater than 4 cm in length. Electrodiagnostic studies used by 64% of surgeons and 82% of surgeons preferred postoperative orthosis. Leinberry et al.^[5] performed a 25-year follow-up survey based on the Duncans' survey and stated that anesthesia, incision size, tourniquet use, and postoperative immobilization have been minimized in the last 25 years. An online survey was performed to ASSH members in 2012, and 46% of respondents preferred a mini-open incision, and 20% used an endoscopic technique.^[6] In Munns' study, 50% of respondents preferred a mini-open incision, and 26% preferred an endoscopic technique.^[3] There is an increasing trend towards mini-open and endoscopic techniques over the years by ASSH members. Our study revealed that 29% of Turkish respondents and 55% of international respondents preferred a mini-open incision. It is unclear why Turkish surgeons prefer a standard technique to a mini-open incision. Only 4% of Turkish respondents use an endoscopic technique. This could be attributed to the fact that national social healthcare insurance is not covering endoscopic techniques in Turkey. It was demonstrated that endoscopic surgery was, on average, \$794 more expensive than open surgery.^[7] In The American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery (AAOS) guidelines, it was reported that endoscopic techniques have limited short-term benefits compared to open techniques.^[8]

Preoperative management of patients was not very different between Turkish and international respondents. Eighty-seven percent of Turkish surgeons and 67% of international surgeons use EMG/nerve conduction studies preoperatively. It was reported that routine use of these investigations by the ASSH members and Canadian surgeons is standard practice for approximately 70% of surgeons.^[4] However, the AAOS guidelines indicate limited evidence to support the use of EMG as a diagnostic tool.^[8] A possible explanation for notable use of EMG despite guidelines is to obtain objective evidence for legal issues before surgery. Thirty-nine percent of Turkish respondents and 24% of international respondents use preoperative antibiotics. When compared with the ASSH members, there is a similar rate of routine antibiotic

use by Turkish surgeons (39% vs. 36%). Despite the literature suggesting that perioperative antibiotics for carpal tunnel surgery are not indicated,^[9] these rates are still unexpectedly high.

The most common anesthetic techniques utilized by Turkish respondents were wrist block (18%), general anesthesia (17%), and WALANT (16%), respectively. The international respondents predominantly use WALANT (42%) for carpal tunnel surgery. Peters and Giuffre^[4] reported that 54% of the CSPA members and 8% of ASSH members use WALANT. They attributed the difference in anesthetic type used between the CSPA members and the ASSH respondents to the availability of operating rooms staffed by an anesthesiologist, surgeon preference and educational background. Turkish surgeons were less likely to prefer WALANT because there is no local procedure room in many clinics and an anesthesiologist is often present in the general operating room. Foster et al.^[7] reported that general or regional anesthesia cost \$654 more than local anesthesia.

Despite the evidence showing that immobilization and referral to physiotherapy are not necessary, 47% of Turkish respondents apply orthosis, and 37% of respondents send their patients to physiotherapy.^[10-12] These results were similar to the international respondents. It was reported that many ASSH and CSPA members failed to comply with the evidence-based guidelines in regards to postoperative management.^[4]

We observed that less experienced surgeons were more likely to administer antibiotics preoperatively, use EMG/nerve conduction studies, utilize a mini-open approach, and apply an orthosis postoperatively. A possible explanation for these results may be that younger surgeons tend to continue the protocols of their department where they received residency training in the first years of their practice. Plastic surgeons were more likely to refer their patients to PT and apply orthosis postoperatively despite the current literature. This may be attributed to the difference in curriculum and perspective between orthopedics and plastic surgery disciplines.

The difference of our study from previous surveys was that respondents were equally distributed based on the education received as a resident (52% plastic surgeons versus 48% orthopedic surgeons). While the majority of CSPA respondents were plastic surgeons, the majority of ASSH respondents were orthopedic surgeons.

This homogenous distribution of respondents in our survey may enable us to make more accurate conclusions.

There were several limitations to our study. Since the majority of respondents were from university hospitals, this survey may not represent nationwide preferences accurately. Besides, since orthopedic surgeons without hand surgery fellowship training and neurosurgeons performing peripheral nerve surgery were not included in the study, it may be concluded that the survey does not reflect national preferences. Moreover, as the majority of international respondents were mostly from Asian countries, one can question whether the participants were “international”. Lastly, although the survey could be completed in a short time, the response rate was only 25% and the 11-question format limited the amount of data gathered.

In conclusion, surveys do not contain scientific data from which strong conclusions can be established on the efficacy of management. However, surveys help us to understand current practice patterns. Since there were no previous studies evaluating nationwide preferences in carpal tunnel surgery, it was not possible for us to compare trends over time. Nevertheless, our study showed that there are comparable differences between Turkish and international surgeons regarding pre- and postoperative management of carpal tunnel surgery. We conclude that province of practice, years in practice, and type of education received as a resident have influence over the management of carpal tunnel syndrome.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Alp NB, Akdağ G, Macunluoğlu AC. Median nerve and carpal tunnel volume changes after two different surgical methods: A comparative magnetic resonance imaging study of mini-open and endoscopic carpal tunnel release. *Eklem Hastalik Cerrahisi* 2019;30:212-6.
2. Duncan KH, Lewis RC Jr, Foreman KA, Nordyke MD. Treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome by members of the American Society for Surgery of the Hand: results of a questionnaire. *J Hand Surg Am* 1987;12:384-91.
3. Munns JJ, Awan HM. Trends in carpal tunnel surgery: an online survey of members of the American Society for Surgery of the Hand. *J Hand Surg Am* 2015;40:767-71.
4. Peters B, Giuffre JL. Canadian trends in carpal tunnel surgery. *J Hand Surg Am* 2018;43:1035.e1-1035.e8.
5. Leinberry CF, Rivlin M, Maltenfort M, Beredjiklian P, Matzon JL, Ilyas AM, et al. Treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome by members of the American Society for Surgery of the Hand: a 25-year perspective. *J Hand Surg Am* 2012;37:1997-2003.
6. Shin EK, Bachoura A, Jacoby SM, Chen NC, Osterman AL. Treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome by members of the American Association for Hand Surgery. *Hand (N Y)* 2012;7:351-6.
7. Foster BD, Sivasundaram L, Heckmann N, Cohen JR, Pannell WC, Wang JC, et al. Surgical approach and anesthetic modality for carpal tunnel release: A nationwide database study with health care cost implications. *Hand (N Y)* 2017;12:162-7.
8. AAOS (American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons) Management of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline, 2016. Available at: www.aaos.org/ctsguideline
9. Tosti R, Fowler J, Dwyer J, Maltenfort M, Thoder JJ, Ilyas AM. Is antibiotic prophylaxis necessary in elective soft tissue hand surgery? *Orthopedics* 2012;35:e829-33.
10. Cebesoy O, Kose KC, Kuru I, Altinel L, Gul R, Demirtas M. Use of a splint following open carpal tunnel release: a comparative study. *Adv Ther* 2007;24:478-84.
11. Huemer GM, Koller M, Pachinger T, Dunst KM, Schwarz B, Hintringer T. Postoperative splinting after open carpal tunnel release does not improve functional and neurological outcome. *Muscle Nerve* 2007;36:528-31.
12. Pomerance J, Fine I. Outcomes of carpal tunnel surgery with and without supervised postoperative therapy. *J Hand Surg Am* 2007;32:1159-63.

Appendix A**Survey Questions**

This survey contains questions about carpal tunnel surgery preferences. Completing the questionnaire takes about two minutes. Data will be treated confidentially. Results will be reported anonymously.

1. How long have you been practicing as a hand surgeon?
 - a. 0-5 years
 - b. 5-10 years
 - c. 10-15 years
 - d. More than 15 years
2. In which country do you practice?
 - a. Turkey
 - b. Abroad
3. In which type of residency did you receive your surgical training?
 - a. Orthopedic surgery
 - b. Plastic surgery
 - c. General surgery
4. In what type of institution do you primarily operate?
 - a. Privately owned clinic
 - b. Public hospital
 - c. University hospital
5. In what setting are the majority of carpal tunnel releases performed?
 - a. General operating room
 - b. Local procedure room
6. Do you routinely obtain preoperative electromyography or nerve conduction studies prior to performing a carpal tunnel release?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
7. Do you use preoperative antibiotics before a carpal tunnel release?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
8. What type of anesthesia is used during your carpal tunnel release?
 - a. General anesthesia
 - b. Wrist block
 - c. Median nerve block
 - d. Intravenous sedation with local
 - e. Wide-awake local anesthesia no tourniquet (WALANT)
 - f. Intravenous regional anesthesia
 - g. Other
9. What type of surgical approach do you use most often?
 - a. Mini-open (midpalmar incision, 2 cm or less)
 - b. Standard open
 - c. Endoscopic
10. Do you routinely apply a splint postoperatively?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Sometimes
11. Do you routinely send patients to physiotherapy postoperatively?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Sometimes