

# The effect of comorbid factors and amputation level on mortality in geriatric patients with diabetic foot

Hüseyin Gökhan Karahan, MD<sup>1</sup>, Onur Çetin, MD<sup>2</sup>, Murat Gök, MD<sup>2</sup>, Hasan Furkan Akın, MD<sup>3</sup>, Abdurrahman Vural, MD<sup>1</sup>, Cemil Kayalı, MD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, Istanbul Basaksehir Pine and Sakura City Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Abdulkadir Yüksel State Hospital, Gaziantep, Türkiye <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Bozyaka Training and Research Hospital, Izmir, Türkiye

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a serious global health issue and one of the most prevalent morbidities, impacting 366 million individuals worldwide in 2011.<sup>[1]</sup> This number is expected to rise to 552 million by 2030.<sup>[1]</sup> Most of the patients with chronic illnesses did not have a regular follow-up during the pandemic due to individuals' fear of getting the virus or self-isolation regulations.<sup>[2]</sup> Patients with DM have a lifetime risk of up to 25% of developing diabetic foot; however, approximately 60 to 80% of patients' foot ulcers heal, while 10 to 15% do not progress and 5 to 24% eventually require a limb amputation.<sup>[3]</sup>

Lower limb amputation (LLA) patients have a significant mortality rate, which may be due to the fact that the majority of patients are elderly and have many comorbid conditions in addition

Received: August 14, 2022 Accepted: November 14, 2022 Published online: January 06, 2023

Correspondence: Abdurrahman Vural, MD. İstanbul Başakşehir Çam ve Sakura Şehir Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Spor Travmatolojisi, Artroskopi, Omuz ve Dirsek Cerrahisi Bölümü, 34480 Başakşehir, İstanbul, Türkiye.

E-mail: dravural@hotmail.com

Doi: 10.52312/jdrs.2023.818

Citation: Karahan HG, Çetin O, Gök M, Akın HF, Vural A, Kayalı C. The effect of comorbid factors and amputation level on mortality in geriatric patients with diabetic foot. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2023;34(1):115-120. doi: 10.52312/jdrs.2023.818

©2023 All right reserved by the Turkish Joint Diseases Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the factors that influenced one-year and five-year mortality and to compare major and minor amputations in diabetic patients with comorbidities.

Patients and methods: Between February 2008 and November 2014, a total of 201 type 2 diabetic foot patients (147 males, 54 females; median age: 65.99 years; range, 50 to 92 years) who underwent amputation were retrospectively analyzed. The patients were divided into two groups according to their initial amputation level: Group 1 (n=100), minor amputation group, which included the distal region of the ankle joint and Group 2 (n=101), major amputation group, which included trans-tibial amputation, trans-femoral amputation and hip disarticulation. Clinical data including patients' demographic features, re-amputation degree, length of hospitalization, hyperbaric oxygen therapy, comorbidities, blood parameters, and survival rates were recorded.

**Results:** The regression analysis of one-year mortality found that the presence of cerebrovascular disease increased death by 2.463 times (p=0.002). Minor amputation increased mortality by 2.284 (p=0.006), and each unit increase in patient age increased mortality by 1.05 (p=0.008). Chronic renal failure increased death by 3.164 times (p<0.001) in the five-year mortality regression analysis.

**Conclusion:** Minor amputations have an effect on one-year mortality, as do cerebrovascular disease and age. On the other hand, chronic renal failure has a negative impact on five-year mortality. Minor amputations may have a detrimental effect on mortality due to the ongoing progression of diabetic foot disease and the involvement of comorbidities. Comorbidities associated with amputations of the diabetic foot have a significant impact on mortality.

Keywords: Amputation, diabetes mellitus, diabetic foot ulcer, diabetes-related complications.

to diabetes. Although studies have demonstrated a range of mortality rates, they remain unacceptably high despite the development of techniques and algorithms. If numerous comorbidities occur, the effect appears to be severe, with the one-year minor amputation mortality reaching as high as 22% and major amputation mortality rate reaching as high as 52%.<sup>[4-6]</sup>

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the effect of comorbidities on the long-term mortality following LLA in patients with type 2 diabetes.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

This single-center, retrospective study was conducted at Bozyaka Training and Research Hospital, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Diabetic Foot Care Unit between February 2008 and November 2014. We analyzed the medical records of diabetic inpatients with a diagnosis of diabetic foot infection admitted to our clinic. A total of 201 type 2 diabetic foot patients (147 males, 54 females; median age: 65.99 years; range, 50 to 92 years) who underwent amputation were included in this study. Patients younger than age 50 years or with traumatic or peripheral arterial disease-related amputations were excluded from the study. All patients were divided into two groups according to their initial amputation level: Group 1 (n=100), minor amputation group, which included the distal region of the ankle joint and Group 2 (n=101), major amputation group, which included trans-tibial amputation, trans-femoral amputation and hip disarticulation.

Clinical data including patients' demographic features, re-amputation degree (if appropriate), length of hospitalization, hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT), comorbidities, blood parameters, and survival rates were recorded. As a final step, the National Death Reporting System was accessed online to verify the latest information on patients who died following amputation and data on mortality rates were obtained from this resource.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS version 20.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive data were expressed in median (min-max) for continuous data and in number and frequency for categorical data. The chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used to compare categorical data, after checking normality tests with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used, if the data were not normally distributed. Factors affecting mortality were determined by using the Kaplan-Meier analysis. The effects of factors on mortality were analyzed by regression analysis. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

According to the patients' amputation levels, 95 (47.3%) toe amputations, five (2.5%) trans-metatarsal amputations, 60 (29.9%) trans-tibial amputations, and 41 (20.4%) trans-femoral amputations were performed. A total of 44 (21.9%) of these patients required re-amputation.

When re-amputation status was evaluated between the amputation groups, no significant difference was observed (p=0.518) (Table I). The difference in final amputation levels in the minor amputation group was significantly greater than in the major amputation group (p<0.01). The median duration of hospitalization in the minor amputation was 13.60 (range, 1 to 82) days which was significantly shorter than the major amputation group (18.07; range, 3 to 95 days) (p<0.001).

According to the patients' comorbidities, 114 patients (56.7%) had several comorbidities. Hypertension (HT), coronary artery disease (CAD),

TABLE I   Comparison of re-amputation status and last amputation level of amputation groups							
	Minor		Major				
	n	%	n	%	p		
Re-amputation					0.518*		
Yes	24	54.5	20	45.5			
No	76	48.4	81	51.6			
Last amputation level					<0.001†		
Transmetatarsal	2	100	0	0.0			
Transtibial	15	88.2	2	11.8			
Transfemoral	7	28	18	72			
* Chi-square test; † Fisher exact test; ‡ Kruskal-Wallis test.							

and chronic renal failure (CRF) were the three most frequent comorbidities.

When comorbidities were evaluated between amputation groups, the incidence of cerebrovascular disease (CVD) (p=0.006) and dementia (p=0.018) were significantly higher in the major amputation group than the minor amputation group. Other comorbidities were distributed similarly throughout the amputation groups (Table II).

When the patients' survival data and other clinical parameters were analyzed, 117 (58.2%) patients died

during the follow-up period. The patients who died had a median survival time of 32.4 (range, 0 to 103) months (Figure 1).

According to Kaplan-Meier analysis factors affecting one-year mortality, HBOT, amputation level, minor/major amputation, CVD, Parkinson's disease and patient's sex were identified. In this study, the presence of CVD increased one-year mortality by 2.463 times (p=0.002). Additionally, whereas female status decreased death by 0.521 (p=0.015), minor amputation increased mortality by 2.284 (p=0.006) (Table III).

TABLE II   Comparison of comorbidities by amputation groups								
Comparison of comorb		ies by amputation groups Minor Major						
	n	%	n	~ %	p			
Comorbidities					,			
Multiple	56	56	58	57.4	0.000			
Single	44	44	43	42.6	0.838			
Hypertension								
Present	58	58	51	50.5				
Absent	42	42	50	49.5	0.286			
Chronic renal failure								
Present	25	25	26	25.7				
Absent	75	75	75	74.3	0.904			
Coronary arterial disease								
Present	50	50	53	52.5	0 700			
Absent	50	50	48	47.5	0.726			
Cerebrovascular disease								
Present	8	8	22	22	0.00			
Absent	92	92	79	78.2	0.00			
Heart failure								
Present	13	13	7	6.9	0 1 5 1			
Absent	87	87.0	94	93.1	0.151			
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease								
Present	3	3	7	6.9	0.10.4			
Absent	97	97	94	93.1	0.194			
Atrial fibrillation								
Present	2	2	2	2	0.000			
Absent	98	98	99	98	0.992			
Asthma								
Present	2	2	1	1	0.000			
Absent	98	98	100	99	0.999			
Dementia								
Present	0	0.0	4	4	0.010			
Absent	100	100.0	97	96	0.018			

Survival functions 1.0 0.8 **Dum survival** 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 10 20 40 50 ò 30 60 Month FIGURE 1. Survival curves of Kaplan-Meier analysis according to patients' amputation levels

According to logistic regression analysis, each unit increase in patient's age resulted in a 1.05-fold increase in mortality (p=0.008).

According to Kaplan-Meier analysis factors affecting five-year mortality, CVD, patient's sex, and CRF were identified. In this study, the presence of CVD increased five-year mortality by 2.782 times (p=0.022). Additionally, male status increased death by 0.426 times (p=0.005), whereas CRF increased mortality by 3.164 times (p<0.001) (Table IV).

We analyzed the effect of the initial amputation level on revision surgery using the Kaplan-Meier method. The effect of minor or major initial amputation on going for revision was found to be statistically non-significant (p=0.885).

## DISCUSSION

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder that affects many physiological systems in the body. Uncontrolled or poorly controlled DM is a risk factor for infection development. Diabetes mellitus is a systemic disease associated with an increased incidence of morbidity and mortality. The treatment period for ulcers is long, and poor treatment may lead to extremity amputations. Due to the amputation and high mortality rates, diabetic foot has received more attention as a severe complication related to DM. There are many causative agents, treatment modalities, and risk factors for amputation of the extremities in patients with diabetes in the literature.<sup>[7-9]</sup> Type 2 diabetes mostly goes without realizing for many years; thus, most of the patients eventually develop diabetic foot ulcers as a result of peripheral arterial occlusions, which is a risk factor for diabetic foot amputations as a late complication of DM.[10-13] In the current study, we analyzed type 2 diabetes patients who underwent amputation due to diabetic foot and identified whether comorbidities had an effect on mortality rates at up to five years of after amputation.

Mortality after diabetic foot amputation can be as high as 70% after five-year follow-up.<sup>[4]</sup> In addition, associated morbidities,

TABLE III							
Factors affecting one-year mortality							
	В	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	OR	
Hyperbaric oxygen therapy	-0.481	0.383	1.575	1	0.210	0.618	
Minor/major	0.826	0.300	7.570	1	0.006	2.284	
Cerebrovascular disease	0.901	0.295	9.318	1	0.002	2.463	
Parkinson	1.201	0.626	3.676	1	0.055	3.324	
Sex	-0.653	0.269	5.901	1	0.015	0.521	

B: Unstandardized beta; SE: Standard error; df: Degrees of freedom; Sig.: Significance; OR: Odds ratio; Cox regression analysis. Log-rank significance 0.001.

TABLE IV   Factors affecting five-year mortality							
	В	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	OR	
Cerebrovascular disease	1.023	0.448	5.208	1	0.022	2.782	
Sex	-0.852	0.302	7.937	1	0.005	0.426	
Chronic renal failure	1.152	0.299	14.832	1	0.001	3.164	

B: Unstandardized beta; SE: Standard error; df: Degrees of freedom; Sig.: Significance; OR: Odds ratio; Cox regression analysis. Log-rank significance 0.001.



such as cardiovascular diseases and chronic renal diseases are more common in patients with diabetes than in patients without diabetes.[14-16] Patients in this study had at least two comorbidities, the majority of which were HT, CAD, or CRF. Amputations lead to much more cardiac and metabolic load, and, with the combination of older age and comorbidities, we concluded that the one-year and five-year mortality rates were particularly high in this group of patients. Cerebrovascular disorders were found to have the greatest impact on first- and fifth-year mortality rates. Chronic renal diseases were identified as a significant factor determining five-year mortality rates. Although determining whether current comorbidities are the cause or effect is challenging, we can speculate that comorbidities significantly increase mortality.

Perez-Favila et al.<sup>[17]</sup> reported in the study that managing diabetic foot patients was extremely challenging and that the fatality rate and healthcare expenditures associated with amputation were gradually increasing. The review focuses on tissue engineering and regenerative medicine strategies that address all components of chronic wound disease collectively. Numerous review studies have reported on viewpoints about wound care and vascular diseases.<sup>[18,19]</sup> However, we observed that these patients did not only have chronic wound ulcers and amputations. We believe that managing these individuals is challenging, since they are affected by not just diabetes and complications, but also a variety of comorbidities. In this context, we observed that the length of hospitalization varied according to the extent of amputation and the presence of comorbidities. We consider that multidisciplinary collaboration is the most critical component of patient management.

According to Saluja et al.,<sup>[20]</sup> patients with diabetic foot ulcers had a higher overall mortality than those without foot ulcers. They concluded that early management was critical to prevent foot ulceration and modify risk factors for cardiovascular disease to avoid excessive mortality. In the meta-analysis conducted by Brownrigg et al.,<sup>[21]</sup> the coexistence of cardiovascular diseases with diabetic patients was the most important risk factor on mortality. In comparison to the literature, this study demonstrates that CRF has a considerable effect on mortality after one year. In our study, a one-unit increase in age increased mortality by 1.05 times in individuals with diabetic foot ulcers.

Thorud et al.<sup>[22]</sup> revealed in their study that the five-year mortality rates for individuals who

underwent major and minor amputations were quite high, ranging from 53 to 100%. However, additional studies are needed to determine whether amputation accelerates death or is a marker for underlying disease severity. Based on our regression analyses, minor amputations increased one-year mortality. We attribute this situation to vasculopathy, neuropathy, and the continuation of the secondary infection process, which are possible to encounter in diabetic foot process. As a result, reoperations may increase the short-term mortality of patients with many comorbid factors. Therefore, major amputations may be preferred in selected patients, taking into account the comorbid factors and functional evaluations of the patient.

Although there are many studies on diabetic foot ulcers in the literature, we believe that the present study provides additional contribution to the literature by evaluating both the number of patients, the length of the follow-up period, and the comorbid factors by regression analysis. However, its single-center, retrospective design is the main limitation and further multi-center, large-scale, prospective studies are needed to draw more reliable conclusions on this subject.

In conclusion, despite decades of technological and medical advances, our study results indicate that high mortality rates remain following amputation in diabetic patients with comorbidities. Minor amputations may have a detrimental effect on mortality due to the ongoing progression of diabetic foot disease and the involvement of comorbidities.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** The study protocol was approved by the Health Sciences University Izmir Bozyaka Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (date: 22.09.2021, no: 2021/163). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Patient Consent for Publication:** A written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

**Data Sharing Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions: Each author has participated in the design of the study, has contributed to the collection of the data, has participated in the interpretation of the results and writing of the manuscript, and assumes full responsibility for the content of the manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

**Funding:** The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. IDF diabetes atlas: Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011;94:311-21. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2011.10.029.
- Ergişi Y, Özdemir E, Altun O, Tikman M, Korkmazer S, Yalçın MN. Indirect impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on diabetes-related lower extremity amputations: A regional study. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2022;33:203-7. doi: 10.52312/ jdrs.2022.564.
- 3. Alexiadou K, Doupis J. Management of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Ther 2012;3:4. doi: 10.1007/s13300-012-0004-9.
- Lavery LA, Hunt NA, Ndip A, Lavery DC, Van Houtum W, Boulton AJ. Impact of chronic kidney disease on survival after amputation in individuals with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2010;33:2365-9. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1213.
- Remes L, Isoaho R, Vahlberg T, Hiekkanen H, Korhonen K, Viitanen M, et al. Major lower extremity amputation in elderly patients with peripheral arterial disease: Incidence and survival rates. Aging Clin Exp Res 2008;20:385-93. doi: 10.1007/BF03325142.
- Eskelinen E, Lepäntalo M, Hietala EM, Sell H, Kauppila L, Mäenpää I, et al. Lower limb amputations in Southern Finland in 2000 and trends up to 2001. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2004;27:193-200. doi: 10.1016/j. ejvs.2003.10.011.
- Uysal S, Arda B, Taşbakan MI, Çetinkalp Ş, Şimşir IY, Öztürk AM, et al. Risk factors for amputation in patients with diabetic foot infection: A prospective study. Int Wound J 2017;14:1219-24. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12788.
- Öztürk AM, Taşbakan M, Metin DY, Yener C, Uysal S, Yıldırım Şımşır I, et al. A neglected causative agent in diabetic foot infection: A retrospective evaluation of 13 patients with fungal etiology. Turk J Med Sci 2019;49:81-6. doi: 10.3906/sag-1809-74.
- Arda B, Uysal S, Taşbakan M, Şimşir IY, Öztürk M, Ertam İ, et al. Use of tigecycline for diabetic foot infections. Wounds 2017;29:297-305.
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2014;37 Suppl 1:S81-90. doi: 10.2337/dc14-S081.
- Fonseca VA. Defining and characterizing the progression of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32 Suppl 2:S151-6. doi: 10.2337/dc09-S301.

- 12. Jeffcoate WJ, Harding KG. Diabetic foot ulcers. Lancet 2003;361:1545-51. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13169-8.
- Lipsky BA, Weigelt JA, Sun X, Johannes RS, Derby KG, Tabak YP. Developing and validating a risk score for lower-extremity amputation in patients hospitalized for a diabetic foot infection. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1695-700. doi: 10.2337/dc11-0331.
- Simpson SH, Corabian P, Jacobs P, Johnson JA. The cost of major comorbidity in people with diabetes mellitus. CMAJ 2003;168:1661-7.
- Wingard DL, Barrett-Connor E, Criqui MH, Suarez L. Clustering of heart disease risk factors in diabetic compared to nondiabetic adults. Am J Epidemiol 1983;117:19-26. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a113510.
- Morrish NJ, Wang SL, Stevens LK, Fuller JH, Keen H. Mortality and causes of death in the WHO Multinational Study of Vascular Disease in Diabetes. Diabetologia 2001;44 Suppl 2:S14-21. doi: 10.1007/p100002934.
- Perez-Favila A, Martinez-Fierro ML, Rodriguez-Lazalde JG, Cid-Baez MA, Zamudio-Osuna MJ, Martinez-Blanco MDR, et al. Current therapeutic strategies in diabetic foot ulcers. Medicina (Kaunas) 2019;55:714. doi: 10.3390/ medicina55110714.
- Révész ES, Altorjay Á, Montskó V, Hangody L. Effectiveness of negative pressure wound therapy: Minimum five-year follow-up and review of the literature. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2022;33:51-6. doi: 10.52312/jdrs.2022.547.
- Marco M, Valentina I, Daniele M, Valerio DR, Andrea P, Roberto G, et al. Peripheral arterial disease in persons with diabetic foot ulceration: A current comprehensive overview. Curr Diabetes Rev 2021;17:474-85. doi: 10.2174/157 3399816999201001203111.
- 20. Saluja S, Anderson SG, Hambleton I, Shoo H, Livingston M, Jude EB, et al. Foot ulceration and its association with mortality in diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. Diabet Med 2020;37:211-8. doi: 10.1111/dme.14151.
- Brownrigg JR, Davey J, Holt PJ, Davis WA, Thompson MM, Ray KK, et al. The association of ulceration of the foot with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in patients with diabetes: A meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2012;55:2906-12. doi: 10.1007/s00125-012-2673-3.
- 22. Thorud JC, Plemmons B, Buckley CJ, Shibuya N, Jupiter DC. Mortality after nontraumatic major amputation among patients with diabetes and peripheral vascular disease: A systematic review. J Foot Ankle Surg 2016;55:591-9. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2016.01.012.