

CASE REPORT

Treatment of calcaneonavicular coalition accompanied by an accessory anterolateral talar facet

Erdi Özdemir, MD¹, Gökay Dursun, MD², Güney Yılmaz, MD²

1Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Karabük University Faculty of Medicine, Karabük, Turkey ²Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Accessory anterolateral talar facet (AALTF) was first described by Sewell^[1] in 1904 with a frequency of 10.2% in 1,006 Egyptian tali as an anatomic variant of the posterior facet of talus. In a cadaver study done by Sarrafian,^[2] its incidence was reported as 38%. A radiological study indicated that its incidence was higher (32.7%) in symptomatic patients compared to asymptomatic patients (26%).^[3]

The AALTF has been thought to cause pain secondary to talocalcaneal impingement in the rigid flat foot.^[4] Tarsal coalitions are the most frequent etiology of rigid flat foot in pediatric population.^[5] The AALTF can occur with or without a tarsal coalition and can be an isolated finding in rigid flatfoot. Recently, the association of the AALTF with tarsal coalition has been reported in the literature.^[6,7] Although they are thought to be associated, there is no report in the literature examining the outcomes of combined

Received: November 22, 2021 Accepted: December 11, 2021 Published online: March 28, 2022

Correspondence: Erdi Özdemir, MD. Karabük Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Anabilim Dalı, 78100 Karabük, Türkiye.

E-mail: erdi.ozdemir@hacettepe.edu.tr

Doi: 10.52312/jdrs.2022.496

Citation: Özdemir E, Dursun G, Yılmaz G. Treatment of calcaneonavicular coalition accompanied by an accessory anterolateral talar facet. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2022;33(1):245-248.

©2022 All right reserved by the Turkish Joint Diseases Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

ABSTRACT

The association of accessory anterolateral talar facet (AALTF) and tarsal coalition has been reported recently. However, there is no report in the literature examining the clinical outcomes of operative treatment simultaneously addressing both AALTF and tarsal coalition. In this case series, we report the functional outcomes of operative treatment for both AALTF and calcaneonavicular coalition (CNC). Four male patients were admitted to our institution with foot pain. Radiographic examination revealed CNC and accompanying AALTF in all patients. Five feet of these four patients were operated simultaneously for AALTF and CNC. At the final follow-up, the mean Visual Analog Scale score was 1.7±2.4 (range, 0 to 5.5), the mean American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society score was 89.6±11.5 (range, 69 to 97), and the mean Foot Function Index was 15.4±19.1 (range, 0 to 43). In conclusion, simultaneous resection of CNC with AALTF seems to have good postoperative clinical outcomes. As AALTF can emerge along with CNC, every patient scheduled for CNC resection should be evaluated for AALTF.

Keywords: Accessory anterolateral talar facet, calcaneonavicular coalition, talocalcaneal impingement, tarsal coalition.

surgeries for both etiologies.^[8] In this case series, we report the clinical outcomes of patients who had simultaneous resection of the AALTF in addition to calcaneonavicular coalition (CNC) and highlight the importance of the AALTF in the treatment of CNCs.

CASE REPORT

Four male patients with a mean age of 12.8±1.9 (range, 11 to 16) years were admitted to our institution between January 2015 and June 2019 complaining of foot pain. On physical examination, all the patients had restricted subtalar joint motion. Preoperative radiographs and computed tomography (CT) scans revealed CNC and the AALTF in all



patients (Figure 1). None of the patients had arthritic changes in the preoperative radiographic evaluation. Initially, the patients were treated with conservative treatment; however, they still had foot paint despite six months of conservative treatment. Surgical resection for CNC and AALTF was planned for five feet of four patients. A written informed consent was obtained from parents and/or legal guardians of each patient. The patients were operated with a simultaneous combined surgery for both AALTF and tarsal coalition.

An oblique incision was performed over the anterolateral aspect of the foot centered over sinus tarsi. The extensor digitorum brevis muscle was identified and detached from its origin. The CNC was exposed with a periosteal elevator and verified under fluoroscopy. A rectangular shaped bone block was removed with an osteotome and mallet. The AALTF was, then, identified from the same exposure with sharp dissection in the sinus tarsi in the most lateral part of posterior facet of talus. The talocalcaneal impingement due to AALTF was confirmed during subtalar eversion. The excision of the AALTF was done with an osteotome and mallet to a point where there was no impingement with subtalar eversion. Extensor digitorum brevis muscle was interposed between the calcaneus and navicular as described in the literature (Figure 2).^[9]

The patients were immobilized for two weeks with a below knee cast. Weight bearing as tolerated and range of motion exercises for ankle and subtalar joint were started immediately after cast removal. At a mean 17.8 \pm 6.6 (range, 12 to 25) months of follow-up, the mean Visual Analog Scale (VAS) score was 1.7 \pm 2.4



FIGURE 2. (a) Extensor digitorum brevis muscle was detached from its origin, calcaneonavicular coalition (white arrow) and accessory anterolateral talar facet (black arrow) were exposed and (b) excision of calcaneonavicular coalition (white arrow) and accessory anterolateral talar facet (black arrow).

(range, 0 to 5.5), the mean American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) score was 89.6±11.5 (range, 69 to 97), and the mean Foot Function Index was 15.4±19.1 (range, 0 to 43). None of the patients required any secondary surgical intervention and no complication occurred throughout their postoperative course.

DISCUSSION

Tarsal coalition and the AALTF are two etiological factors which are responsible for rigid pes planus deformity and midfoot pain.^[4,10] Although tarsal coalition has been known for a long time and much has been described in the literature, the AALTF as an etiological factor in subtalar pain has recently gained popularity. Our case series was the first report in the literature investigating the results of surgical treatment when both etiologies were addressed simultaneously and showed good functional outcomes.

Tarsal coalition has been described as a congenital or acquired condition.[11] Congenital tarsal coalition is thought to be caused by a genetic condition resulting in a differentiation or segmentation anomaly during embryological development.^[12] On the other hand, the etiology of the AALTF has not been determined clearly in the literature. The AALTF was described as an anatomical variant of the posterior talar facet;[1] however, Martus et al.^[13] suggested that it might be a developmental entity. In addition, the association between tarsal coalition and AALTF has also been reported in the literature.^[6] The theory behind this association remains unclear. Jayakumar and Cowell^[14] reported that patients with tarsal coalition might develop secondary degenerative changes at the lateral aspect of the hindfoot, including broadening of the lateral process of the talus. Furthermore, several secondary findings of tarsal coalition have been described such as a talar beaking.^[15] A talar beak occurs due to impaired subtalar motion, leading to periosteal elevation at the insertion of the talonavicular ligament. A cycle of osseous repair results in formation of the talar beak.^[16] Nonetheless, Algahtani et al.^[6] reported that the association of tarsal coalition and the AALTF could be related to a variation in the developmental segmentation of the tarsal bones. Considering the younger mean age of our patients, CNC may be associated with AALTF by means of developmental theory, instead of adaptive theory.

Surgical resection of the AALTF has been recommended in symptomatic patients. The literature

includes few studies with a limited number of patients reporting the surgical outcomes of the AALTF resection.^[4,17,18] Martus et al.^[4] reported the clinical outcomes of six pediatric patients following the AALTF resection. Four patients had good and two patients had fair clinical outcomes. Niki et al.^[19] reported a significant improvement of the mean functional outcomes using the Japanese Society for Surgery of the Foot (JSSF) ankle and hindfoot scale following resection of the AALTF. In their studies, the mean JFFS scores were increased from 60 to 96 in 13 adolescents^[18] and from 54 to 91 in 31 adult patients after AALTF resection.^[17]

Good functional outcomes after tarsal coalition resection have been reported.^[10,20,21] On the other hand, Mahan et al.^[21] reported that 17 of 63 patients complained of activity limitations due to foot pain after resection of the tarsal coalition. Gonzalez and Kumar^[22] reported 7% poor outcomes after CNC resection. Although the reason for poor functional outcomes following the tarsal coalition resection is unclear, pathologies associated with the tarsal coalition may be a factor. Masquijo and Jarvis^[23] emphasized the importance of detection of multiple tarsal coalitions in the same foot prior to surgery, since surgical outcomes may be affected if they are not addressed together during surgery. We believe that a neglected AALTF may be one of the causes that leads to poor outcomes following the tarsal coalition resection. Since the tarsal coalition restricts subtalar joint mobility,^[24] AALTF may be asymptomatic in these patients during preoperative physical examination. Following surgical resection of the tarsal coalition, subtalar joint motion is increased and silent AALTF may become symptomatic.^[25]

Resection and arthrodesis are the options in the surgical treatment of tarsal coalition. Resection of the coalition is the mainstay of the treatment in adolescents and arthrodesis is usually performed who have severe degenerative changes and whose resections are failed.^[26,27] In addition, Cass and Camansta^[28] reported that resection of CNC had better outcomes than talocalcaneal coalition, and resection yielded better long-term results in young patients. Given the supportive evidence in the literature for resection of CNC in addition to the absence of arthritic changes in our patients, we preferred resection. Our favorable results following resection are consistent with the previous literature.

Nonetheless, this case series has some limitations. Since CNC is a rare disorder, our sample size is small. Preoperative VAS, AOFAS, and Foot Function Index of the patients were missing. Further studies with a control group and a longer follow-up period may be beneficial to assess the necessity of the combined surgery for the CNC and the AALTF.

In conclusion, simultaneous surgery for both AALTF and CNC showed good clinical outcomes. Based on these findings, we recommend careful identification of an AALTF associated with any CNC with CT scan in symptomatic rigid flat foot patients and resect both disorders simultaneously, as simultaneous resection of the CNC with the AALTF may improve the postoperative outcome.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sewell RB. A study of the astragalus. J Anat Physiol 1904;39:74-88.7.
- Sarrafian SK. Anatomy of the foot and ankle: descriptive, topographic, functional. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 1993.
- Aydıngöz Ü, Topcuoğlu OM, Görmez A, Cankurtaran T, Topcuoğlu ED, Ergen FB. Accessory anterolateral talar facet in populations with and without symptoms: Prevalence and relevant associated ankle MRI findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2016;207:846-51.
- Martus JE, Femino JE, Caird MS, Kuhns LR, Craig CL, Farley FA. Accessory anterolateral talar facet as an etiology of painful talocalcaneal impingement in the rigid flatfoot: A new diagnosis. Iowa Orthop J 2008;28:1-8.
- Zhou B, Tang K, Hardy M. Talocalcaneal coalition combined with flatfoot in children: Diagnosis and treatment: A review. J Orthop Surg Res 2014;9:129.
- Alqahtani E, Fliszar E, Resnick DL, Huang BK. Accessory anterolateral talar facet associated with tarsal coalition: Prevalence and cross-sectional characterization. Skeletal Radiol 2020;49:417-24.
- Vossen JA, Abbassi M, Qian Y, Hayes CW, Haar PJ, Hoover KB. Correlation between the accessory anterolateral talar facet, bone marrow edema, and tarsal coalitions. Skeletal Radiol 2020;49:699-705.
- 8. Atik OŞ. What are the expectations of an editor from a scientific article? Jt Dis Relat Surg 2020;31:597-8.
- 9. Gonzalez P, Kumar SJ. Calcaneonavicular coalition treated by resection and interposition of the extensor digitorum brevis muscle. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1990;72:71-7.
- Khoshbin A, Law PW, Caspi L, Wright JG. Long-term functional outcomes of resected tarsal coalitions. Foot Ankle Int 2013;34:1370-5.

- 11. Rodriguez N, Choung DJ, Dobbs MB. Rigid pediatric pes planovalgus: Conservative and surgical treatment options. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 2010;27:79-92.
- Plotkin S. Case presentation of calcaneonavicular coalition in monozygotic twins. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 1996;86:433-8.
- Martus JE, Femino JE, Caird MS, Hughes RE, Browne RH, Farley FA. Accessory anterolateral facet of the pediatric talus. An anatomic study. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 2008;90:2452-9.
- 14. Jayakumar S, Cowell HR. Rigid flatfoot. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1977;(122):77-84.
- 15. Resnick D. Talar ridges, osteophytes, and beaks: A radiologic commentary. Radiology 1984;151:329-32.
- Newman JS, Newberg AH. Congenital tarsal coalition: Multimodality evaluation with emphasis on CT and MR imaging. Radiographics 2000;20:321-32.
- 17. Niki H, Hirano T, Akiyama Y, Beppu M. Accessory talar facet impingement in pathologic conditions of the peritalar region in adults. Foot Ankle Int 2014;35:1006-14.
- Niki H, Aoki H, Hirano T, Akiyama Y, Fujiya H. Peroneal spastic flatfoot in adolescents with accessory talar facet impingement: A preliminary report. J Pediatr Orthop B 2015;24:354-61.
- 19. Niki H, Aoki H, Inokuchi S, Ozeki S, Kinoshita M, Kura H, et al. Development and reliability of a standard rating system for outcome measurement of foot and ankle disorders II: Interclinician and intraclinician reliability and validity of the newly established standard rating scales and Japanese Orthopaedic Association rating scale. J Orthop Sci 2005;10:466-74.
- Yildiz KI, Misir A, Kizkapan TB, Keskin A, Akbulut D. Functional and radiological outcomes after tarsal coalition resections: A minimum 5-year follow-up. J Foot Ankle Surg 2019;58:1223-8.
- 21. Mahan ST, Spencer SA, Vezeridis PS, Kasser JR. Patientreported outcomes of tarsal coalitions treated with surgical excision. J Pediatr Orthop 2015;35:583-8.
- 22. Gonzalez P, Kumar SJ. Calcaneonavicular coalition treated by resection and interposition of the extensor digitorum brevis muscle. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1990;72:71-7.
- 23. Masquijo JJ, Jarvis J. Associated talocalcaneal and calcaneonavicular coalitions in the same foot. J Pediatr Orthop B 2010;19:507-10.
- Cowell HR. Talocalcaneal coalition and new causes of peroneal spastic flatfoot. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1972;85:16-22.
- 25. Hetsroni I, Nyska M, Mann G, Rozenfeld G, Ayalon M. Subtalar kinematics following resection of tarsal coalition. Foot Ankle Int 2008;29:1088-94.
- 26. Lemley F, Berlet G, Hill K, Philbin T, Isaac B, Lee T. Current concepts review: Tarsal coalition. Foot Ankle Int 2006;27:1163-9.
- 27. Thorpe SW, Wukich DK. Tarsal coalitions in the adult population: Does treatment differ from the adolescent? Foot Ankle Clin 2012;17:195-204.
- Cass AD, Camasta CA. A review of tarsal coalition and pes planovalgus: Clinical examination, diagnostic imaging, and surgical planning. J Foot Ankle Surg 2010;49:274-93.